

Westlaw

Not Reported in F.Supp.
 Not Reported in F.Supp., 1997 WL 1948951 (E.D.N.Y.)
 (Cite as: 1997 WL 1948951 (E.D.N.Y.))

Page 1

H

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court, E.D. New York.

Deborah GOVERNALE, Plaintiff,

v.

AIRBORNE EXPRESS, INC., Defendant.

No. 95-CV-0541 (CBA).

July 23, 1997.

John A. Beranbaum, New York, New York, for Plaintiff.

Robert B. Rosen, Hellring Landeman Goldstein & Siegal, Newark, New Jersey, for Defendant.

discovery of any claims made against Airborne in any of its offices, nationwide. For purposes of clarity, that was not my intent. I thought it implicit that for other employees' claims to be relevant, either under FED. R. CIV. P. 404(b) or 406, they would have to be claims made within the office where plaintiff worked.

SO ORDERED.

E.D.N.Y., 1997.

Governale v. Airborne Exp., Inc.

Not Reported in F.Supp., 1997 WL 1948951 (E.D.N.Y.)

END OF DOCUMENT

ORDER

AZRACK, Magistrate J.

*1 On May 6, 1997, I issued a report and recommendation on plaintiff's motion for leave to amend the complaint and an order on plaintiff's motion to compel discovery in this case. After considering the objections that have been filed by defendant, I hereby issue the following clarification to my order with respect to the motion to compel.

Plaintiff sought discovery of certain items that pertain to other employees' claims or allegations concerning defendant's alleged deficiencies in the investigation of sexual harassment claims and defendant's alleged failure to take remedial action in response to such charges. I understood that request to mean that plaintiff sought documentation of any such claims that have been made in the Airborne office in which plaintiff was employed, and granted the motion. According to its objections, defendant understood my order to compel